Pre-Post Analysis of the Poll Surveys and Questionnaire Data
for Accreditation Quality Network's Special Session entitled
"Disaster Risk Management: Experiences from Asia and Latin America"

Background

The Accreditation Quality Network organized a virtual Special Session titled **"Disaster Risk Management: Experiences from Asia and Latin America"**, hosted by the University of Management and Technology in Pakistan, for the Center for Disaster Management.

This session was held on 4 March 2020 virtually, commencing at 4:30 PM GMT.

Three renowned speakers delivered the lectures:

1. **Mr. Vidyadhi Hiranandani** (Associate Professor, Ganpat University, Gujarat) presented on "Implementation of Disaster Risk Education for Planned Action in Urban Areas".
2. **Mr. Richard Walker** (Senior Lecturer, Sociology/Psychology and Acting Programme Leader, Northumbria University, UK) presented on "Developing Effective Strategies for Improvements in Emergency Preparedness and Response: Lessons from Pakistan Experience, Health and Social Care and Practice Skills and the International Emergency Triage, UK".
3. **Mr. Abdullah Khan** (Professor, Qualitative Conservation Society, Consulting Conservation Decisions, Recommendations and Climate Change in Colombia and Latin America).

The panel of speakers was introduced by Mr. Shahid Khawaja, Lecturer at the Center for Disaster Management, School of Conservation and Society at the University of Management and Technology in Pakistan.

We analyzed the live audience impact of this Special Session, two point poll surveys were used.

The polls (first and second) were held at two different points during the Session.

The first Poll was conducted before presenting the first speaker (Mr. Vidyadhi Hiranandani) and the other at final appearance. It consisted of three questions:

1. How do rate your prior knowledge on disaster risk?

   Based on your current knowledge (i.e., up to the point of starting this Special Session), please rate your overall level of confidence on the overall issue of disaster risk (where 1 is not at all confident and 5 is very confident)?

2. [illegible]